

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

RESTRICTED

WT/ACC/SPEC/TPKM/10
8 June 1999

(99-2286)

**Working Party on the
Accession of Chinese Taipei**

Original: English

ACCESSION OF CHINESE TAIPEI

Information Concerning Domestic Support and Export Subsidies

The Representation of the Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu (hereinafter referred to as Chinese Taipei) has submitted the following information concerning commitments on domestic support and export subsidies in 1993, 1994 and 1995.

Table DS:1

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: T.P.K.M.
REPORTING PERIOD: 1993

Current Total Aggregate Measurement of Support

| Total AMS commitment for period in question (from Section I of Part IV of the Schedule) | Currency (from Section I of Part IV of the Schedule) | Current Total AMS (from attached supporting Tables) |
|--|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | 1993 |
| | Millions of NT dollars | 19,316.24 |

Supporting Table DS:1

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen, and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1993 and Fiscal Year 1993/94⁽¹⁾

Measures exempt from the reduction commitment – "Green Box"

| Measure type | Name and description of measure with reference to criteria in Annex 2 | Monetary value of measure in year in question (million NT\$) | Data sources |
|--------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| | | 1993 | |
| 1.General services | Research: General research, research in relation to environment programmes and product specific research programmes. (Annex 2 paragraph 2(a)) | 1,695.82 | Documents of budget |
| | Pest and disease prevention: General and product-specific pest and disease control measures. (Annex 2 paragraph 2(b)) | 681.33 | Documents of budget |
| | Training services: Education and training. (Annex 2 paragraph 2(c)) | 228.77 | Documents of budget |
| | Extension and advisory services: programmes for practical application of new technologies, technology improvement of agricultural production, compilation and provision of | 2,110.39 | Documents of budget |
| | Inspection services: The inspection and control of veterinary medicine, feed, food, pesticide residue, water quality, and grading and the implementation of Chinese Taipei's Agricultural Standard system. (Annex 2 paragraph 2(e)) | 232.15 | Documents of budget |
| | Marketing and promotion services: programmes for the improvement of the marketing, processing and consumption of food. (Annex 2 paragraph 2(f)) | 1,388.49 | Documents of budget |
| | Infrastructural services: Planning and construction of irrigation/drainage facilities and rural roads, land consolidation for agricultural sector and rural community. (Annex 2, paragraph 2(g)) | 5,792.31 | Documents of budget |

¹ Unless otherwise specified, data used are budget outlays for the fiscal year. Fiscal year begins on 1 July and ends on 30 June of the subsequent year.

| Measure type | Name and description of measure with reference to criteria in Annex 2 | Monetary value of measure in year in question (million NT\$) | Data sources |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| | | 1993 | |
| 2. Payments for relief from natural disasters | Aid for natural disaster ⁽²⁾ : government payments for relief of damaged farms by natural disasters. (Annex 2 paragraph 8) | 20.52 | Agricultural economics division, TPDAF |
| | Interest subsidy ⁽³⁾ : Special loans granted to farmers for the relief of damaged farms due to natural disasters. (Annex 2 paragraph 8) | 100.00 | Farmers' Service Dept., COA |
| | (a) Loan types, borrowers, purposes, credit lines, loan interest, loan maturity, repayments, and lending institutions. (Refer to loan type 1 in Attachment 1). | | |
| | (b) Fund is sourced from the Agricultural Development Fund. | | |
| 3. Structural adjustment provided through resource retirement programmes | Land retirement programmes: The conversion of farm land to forestry use. (Annex 2, paragraph 10) | 326.00 | Documents of budget |
| 4. Environmental programmes | Interest subsidy for pollution reduction ⁽⁴⁾ : provision of low interest loans to hog farmers for the purchase of pollution prevention facilities. (Refer to loan type 7 in Attachment 1) (Annex 2 paragraph 12). | - | |
| | Programmes for preventing pollution, managing agricultural waste and monitoring on the pollution of the water and soil (Annex 2 paragraph 12). | 908.44 | Documents of budget |
| | Interest subsidy ⁽³⁾ : Loan granted to farmers for the use of water and soil conservation. (Refer to loan type 2 in Attachment 1) (Annex 2, paragraph 12) | 24.00 | Farmers' Service Dept., COA |
| | Land conservation subsidy ⁽²⁾ : payments for the maintenance of rice fields in environmentally good condition by growing green manure crops or setting-aside. (Annex 2, paragraph 12) | 1,342.81 | Food & Agriculture Dept. , COA |
| 5. Regional assistance | programmes for disadvantaged farms: Integrated agricultural construction and | 568.17 | Documents of budget |

² Data used for "aid for natural disaster" and "land conservation subsidy" are actual outlays for the calendar year.

³ Interest subsidy under the "Payments for Relief from Natural Disasters and Environmental Programmes" are actual outlays.

⁴ Due to the lack of the data on the breakdown of different types of loans, the interest subsidy for the purchase of pollution prevention facilities is included in the calculation of Non-Specific AMS.

| Measure type | Name and description of measure with reference to criteria in Annex 2 | Monetary value of measure in year in question (million NT\$) | Data sources |
|--------------|--|--|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| | | 1993 | |
| plan | environmental improvement programmes for disadvantaged farms. (Annex 2 paragraph 13) | | |
| Total | | 15,419.20 | |

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1993 and Fiscal Year 1993/94
Calculation of the Current Total Aggregate Measurement of Support

| Description of basic products (incl. non-product specific AMS) | Product-Specific AMS (From Supporting Tables DS:5 To DS:7 Below) | Value of Production ^{(5)/} | Current Total AMS As a % of Value of Production | Current Total AMS (aggregate) |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | % | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Rice (paddy) | 6,278.59 | 40,522.37 | 15.49 | 6,278.59 |
| Soybean | 252.08 | 317.88 | 79.30 | 252.08 |
| Corn (feed) | 3,028.59 | 4,295.91 | 70.50 | 3,028.59 |
| Sorghum | 1,314.28 | 1,563.28 | 84.07 | 1,314.28 |
| Wheat | 73.18 | 98.42 | 74.36 | 73.18 |
| Peanuts | 344.68 | 3,173.17 | 10.86 | 344.68 |
| Sugar | 3,256.90 | 5,488.15 | 59.34 | 3,256.90 |
| Tobacco leaves | 2,018.82 | 2,898.49 | 69.65 | 2,018.82 |
| Vegetables ⁽⁶⁾ /(including food corn) | 381.63 | 32,491.57 | 1.17 | * ⁽⁷⁾ / |
| Wine grapes | 1,650.97 | 2,183.25 | 75.62 | 1,650.97 |
| Fruit ⁽⁸⁾ / (excluding wine grapes) | 252.27 | 47,312.88 | 0.53 | * |

⁵ Value of production (excluding forage crops, which is estimated as described in footnote 8)) is reported in "Tawiwan Agricultural Yearbook" published by the Department of Agriculture and Forestry of the Taiwan Provincial Government.

⁶ "Vegetables" include food corn, radishes, carrots, other root vegetables, ginger, taros, scallions, scallion bulbs, onions, leeks, garlic, garlic bulbs, water-chestnuts, water bamboo, asparagus, other stem vegetables, cabbages, leaf-mustard, chinese cabbages, celery cabbages, water-convolvulus, ceelery, other leaf-vegetables, cauliflower, lily flowers, oriental pickling melons.

⁷ AMS is not subject to reduction or inclusion in the current AMS because the calculated AMS is less than 5 per cent of value of production (de minimus exclusion).

| Description of basic products (incl. non-product specific AMS) | Product-Specific AMS (From Supporting Tables DS:5 To DS:7 Below) | Value of Production ^{(5)/} | Current Total AMS As a % of Value of Production | Current Total AMS (aggregate) |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | % | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Ornamental plants ^{(9)/} | 60.80 | 5,676.83 | 1.07 | * |
| Forage crops ^{(10)/} | 263.18 | 678.24 | 38.80 | 263.18 |
| Other crops ^{(11)/} | 834.98 | 11,501.40 | 7.26 | 834.98 |
| All other(not in AMS calculation) | 0.00 | 116,791.96 | 0.00 | * |
| Total for all commodities | 20,010.94 | 274,993.80 | 7.28 | 19,316.24 |
| Non-product-specific AMS (from Supporting Table DS:9 below) | 1,211.17 | 274,993.80 | 0.44 | * |
| TOTAL: Current total AMS | | | | 19,316.24 |

⁸ "Fruits" include bananas, pineapples, citrus-ponkans, wentan pomeloes, citrus-tou pomeloes, pai pomeloes, satsuma oranges, citrus-valencias, citrus-tankans, liuchengs, lemons, grape fruits, other citrus fruits, logans, mangoes, betel nuts, guavas, plums, peaches, persimmons, papayas, wax apples, grapes, loquats, japanese apricots, lichees, olives, carambolas, pears, apples, jujubes, sugar apples, passion fruits and other fruits.

⁹ "Ornamental plants" include chrysanthemum, gladiolus, tuberose, dahlia, rose, other cut fowers, bulbs, herbaceous flower seeds, nurseries and potted flowers.

¹⁰ "Value of production of forage crops" is calculated on the basis of the planted acreage of forage crops times the yield per ha. of hay (15,000kg) times the estimated average unit price (NT\$4.5/kg). The average unit price of hay is calculated on the basis of surveyed production costs by the Animal Industry Department of COA. A breakdown of the production costs include NT\$0.4/k of fertilizer, NT\$1.0/kg of labour expenses, NT\$1.6/kg of drying and processing, NT\$0.5/kg of transportation, NT\$0.5/kg of storage and marketing, and NT\$0.5/kg of land rent.

¹¹ "Value of production of other crops" is calculated by deducting the sum value of the crops listed in Supporting Table DS:4 (including rice, soybean, feed corn, sorghum, wheat, peanuts, sugar, vegetables (including food corn), tobacco leaves, wine grapes, fruit (excluding wine grapes), ornamental plants, forage crops) from the total value of crops (including forage crops). "Other crops" include millet, common beans, adzuki beans, mung beans, sweet potatoes, cassava, potatoes, tea, sugar-cane (fresh), sesame, rapeseeds, cotton, tachia rush, triangle rush, perfume plants, sisal, sunflowers, mildew, sericulture and other miscellaneous crops.

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1990-92 and Fiscal Year 1990/91 – 1992/93

Product-Specific Aggregate Measurements of Support: Market Price Support

| Description of basic products | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Associated fees/levies | Total market price support | Data sources ^{(12)/} |
|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$/KG | NT\$/KG | M.T. | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | ((4-5)*6)-7 | |
| Rice (paddy) | | Rice purchases | | | | | | |
| Jan. – June 1993 (1 st crop) | | | | | | | | |
| Japonica Rice | | a ⁽¹³⁾ / | 19.00 | 8.92 | 278,830.0 | | 2,806.07 | *** ⁽¹⁴⁾ / |
| | | b ⁽¹⁵⁾ / | 16.50 | 8.92 | 84,128.0 | | 637.69 | *** |
| Indica Rice | | a * | 18.00 | 7.10 | 1,264.0 | | 13.78 | *** |
| | | b ** | 15.50 | 7.10 | 296.0 | | 2.49 | *** |
| Indica Rice (long) | | a * | 18.00 | 7.10 | 16,151.0 | | 176.05 | *** |
| | | b ** | 15.50 | 7.10 | 1,329.0 | | 11.16 | *** |

¹² Refer to Attachment 2 for details on the measures and calculation.

¹³ Price support level for rice is calculated on the basis of government planned purchase.

¹⁴ "Applied administered price of rice," is sourced from "Taiwan Food Statistics Book," published by Taiwan Food Bureau (TFB). "External reference price" is based on CIF price of rice imported by Hong Kong in 1990-2; which serves as the arithmetic average of the price in year 1990-2. Taiwan did not import rice, and thus did not have data on rice imports. Hong Kong is the closest territory to Taiwan and shares similar rice consumption pattern with Taiwan; therefore, data on rice imports in Hong Kong are used as external reference for rice. "Imported value and volume of rice in Hong Kong is sourced from "Hong Kong Trade Statistics." "Exchange rate of NT dollars to HK dollars" is sourced from "Hong Kong Monthly Digest of Statistics". "Conversion rates of paddy to milled rice" is sourced from Taiwan Food Bureau.

¹⁵ Price support level for rice is calculated on the basis of government supplementary purchase.

| Description of basic products | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Associated fees/levies | Total market price support | Data sources ^{(12)/} |
|--|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | NT\$/KG | NT\$/KG | M.T. | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | 9 |
| | | | | | | | ((4-5)*6)-7 | |
| Total – 1 st crop | | | 21.85 | 5.75 | 18,812.0 | | 302.87 | *** |
| Jul. – Dec. 1993 (2 nd crop) | | | | | | | | |
| Japonica Rice | | a * | 21.00 | 8.92 | 147,067.0 | | 1,776.57 | *** |
| | | b ** | 18.50 | 8.92 | 16,238.0 | | 147.44 | *** |
| Indica Rice | | a * | 20.00 | 7.10 | 524.0 | | 6.76 | *** |
| | | b ** | 17.00 | 7.10 | 104.0 | | 1.03 | *** |
| Indica Rice (long) | | a * | 20.00 | 7.10 | 4,898.0 | | 63.18 | *** |
| | | b ** | 17.00 | 7.10 | 745.0 | | 7.38 | *** |
| Total - 2 nd crop | | | 24.15 | 5.75 | 17,724.0 | | 326.12 | *** |
| 1993 (1 st and 2 nd crop) | | | | | | | | |
| Japonica Rice | | a * | | | 425,447.0 | | 4,582.64 | |
| | | b ** | | | 100,366.0 | | 785.13 | |
| Indica Rice | | a * | | | 1,788.0 | | 20.54 | |
| | | b ** | | | 400.0 | | 3.52 | |
| Indica Rice (long) | | a * | | | 21,049.0 | | 239.23 | |
| | | b ** | | | 2,074.0 | | 18.54 | |
| Glutinous Rice | | | | | 36,536.0 | | 628.99 | |

| Description of basic products | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Associated fees/levies | Total market price support | Data sources ^{(12)/} |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$/KG | NT\$/KG | M.T. | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | ((4-5)*6)-7 | |
| Total-1993 | | | | | | | 6,278.69 | |
| Tobacco leaves | July 1993 | Tobacco leaves purchase | 174.13 | 74.66 | 18,974.0 | | 1,887.34 ⁽¹⁶⁾ | |
| Grapes (wine) | July 1993 | Contract guaranteed purchase | 29.45 | 7.53 | 74,134.0 | | 1,625.02 ^{(17)/} | |
| Wheat | July 1993 | Contract guaranteed purchase | 19.50 | 4.68 | 4,938.0 | | 73.18 ^{(18)/} | |

¹⁶ "Applied administered price of tobacco leaves" is based on the weighted average of the prices for the purchase of different grades of locally produced tobacco leaves. Sourced from "Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Statistical Yearbook" published by Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Monopoly Bureau (TTWMB). "External reference price of tobacco leaves" is based on CIF price of tobacco leaves imported from Thailand in 1990-92, which shares the same quality of those grown in Taiwan. Data sourced from TTWMB. "Eligible production of tobacco leaves" is sourced from "Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Statistical Yearbook" published by TTWMB.

¹⁷ "Applied administered price of wine grapes" is based on the weighted average of the prices for the purchase of different grades of locally produced grapes. Sourced from "Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Statistical Yearbook" published by TTWMB. "External reference price of wine grapes" is determined by using the 1990-92 price of wine grapes from the State of California times a coefficient of 0.75 (coefficient = 0.75 = weighted average degrees brix of Taiwan wine grapes divided by US wine grapes; this is used to reflect the downwards quality adjustment) plus freight and insurance (at 10% of the price of California wine grapes). "Eligible production of wine grapes" sourced from "Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Statistical Yearbook" published by TTWMB.

¹⁸ "Applied administered price of wheat" is based on TTWMB contracts signed with farmers for purchase at guaranteed price. "External reference price of wheat" is sourced from "Taiwan Tobacco & Wine Statistical Yearbook" published by TTWMB.

| Description of basic products | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Associated fees/levies | Total market price support | Data sources ^{(12)/} |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$/KG | NT\$/KG | M.T. | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | ((4-5)*6)-7 | |
| Sugar | July 1993 | Contract guaranteed purchase ^{(19)/} | 24.88 | 8.23 | 140,141.0 | | 2,333.07 ^{(20)/} | |
| | July 1993 | Contract guaranteed purchase ^{(21)/} | 25.00 | 8.23 | 3,303.0 | | 55.39 | |
| | | Total | | | | | 2,388.46 | |
| All Commodities (before de minimis) | | | | | | | 12,252.59 | |

¹⁹ Price support level for sugar is calculated on the basis of export sales.

²⁰ "Applied administered price of sugar" and "eligible production of sugar" are sourced from Taiwan Sugar Corporation.

²¹ Price support level for sugar is calculated on the basis of export sales.

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1993 and Fiscal Year 1993/94
Product-Specific Aggregate Measurements of Support: Non-Exempt Direct Payments

| Description of basic products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Total price-related payments | Other non-exempt direct payments | Associated fees/levies | Total direct payments | Data sources |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | | | ((4-5)*6) | | | (7+8-9) | |
| Soybean | Jan. 93 | Guaranteed purchase | 25.00 | 7.64 | 12,352.00 | 214.43 | | | | *(²²)/ |
| Soybean | Jan. 93 | Diversion payments ** (²³)/ | | | | | 36.85 | | | TFB |
| Soybean | Jan. 93 | Bonus Payments *** (²⁴)/ | | | | | 0.80 | | | Provincial Department of Agriculture and Forestry (PDAF) |

²² "Applied administered price of soybean, corn(feed), sorghum" is sourced from the "Taiwan Food Statistics Book" published by TFB. "External reference price" is based on the wholesale price for imported soybean, corn(feed), sorghum in 1990-93, data sourced from "Taiwan Grains & Feeds Development Foundation." "Eligible production of soybean, corn(feed), sorghum" is sourced from "Taiwan Food Statistics Book" published by TFB. Refer to Attachment 2 for details on the measures and calculation.

²³ Under the Programme of Rice Production and Ricefields Division, for rice farmers converting rice production to any other non-rice crops, there is a diversion payment of NT\$16,500 per ha. made for the first crop of 1993 and NT\$18,000 per ha. made since the second crop of 1993 for the production of soybean, corn, sorghum, sugarcane, tobacco, vegetables, ornamental plants, and there is a diversion payment of NT\$24,750 per ha. made for the first crop of 1993 and NT\$27,000 per ha. made since the second crop of 1993 for the production of fruits and other crops.

| Description of basic products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Applied administered price | External reference price | Eligible production associated | Total price-related payments | Other non-exempt direct payments | Associated fees/levies | Total direct payments | Data sources |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | | | ((4-5)*6 | | | (7+8-9) | |
| Soybean | | Subtotal other payments | | | | | 37.65 | | | |
| Soybean | Jan. 93 | Subtotal all direct payments | | | | | | | 252.08 | |
| Corn | Jan. 93 | Guaranteed purchase | 15.00 | 3.94 | 256,071 | 2,832.15 | | | | * |
| Corn | Jan. 93 | Diversion payments** | | | | | 186.38 | | | TFB |
| | | Bonus Payments*** | | | | | 10.06 | | | PDAF |
| | | Subtotal other payments | | | | | 196.44 | | | |
| Corn | Jan. 93 | Subtotal all direct payments | | | | | | | 3,028.59 | |

²⁴ In addition to the diversion payment, for each of the hectare in adjoining farms with total area of over 30 hectares, there is a bonus payment of NT\$4,000 per ha. for the conversion of rice production to any other non-crops mentioned in the preceding items.

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1990-92

Product-Specific Aggregate Measurements of Support: Non-Exempt Direct Payments

| Description of products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measures type(s) | Non-exempt direct payments | Value of production | Support as a % of value of production |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$1,000 | NT\$1,000 | % |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Cauliflower | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 822,672.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 684,079.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 776,285.00 | 0.00 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | - | 761,012.00 | 0.00 |
| Cucumbers | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 1,400.00 | 454,186.00 | 0.31 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 4,317.00 | 464,284.00 | 0.93 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 1,777.00 | 499,390.00 | 0.36 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 2,498.00 | 472,620.00 | 0.53 |
| Bitter gourds | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 539.00 | 290,197.00 | 0.19 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 3,142.00 | 288,380.00 | 1.09 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 752.00 | 264,817.00 | 0.28 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 1,477.67 | 281,131.33 | 0.53 |
| Tomatoes | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 1,031,680.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 1712 | 906,058.00 | 0.19 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 18 | 755,310.00 | 0.00 |

| Description of products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measures type(s) | Non-exempt direct payments | Value of production | Support as a % of value of production |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$1,000 | NT\$1,000 | % |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 576.67 | 897,682.67 | 0.06 |
| Eggplants | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 77 | 356,376.00 | 0.02 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 938 | 261,555.00 | 0.36 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 1274 | 268,917.00 | 0.47 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 763.00 | 295,616.00 | 0.26 |
| Peppers | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | - | 384,360.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 967.00 | 443,808.00 | 0.22 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2,433.00 | 503,740.00 | 0.48 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 1,133.33 | 443,969.33 | 0.26 |
| Kidney beans | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 234.00 | 412,740.00 | 0.06 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 244.00 | 438,704.00 | 0.06 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | - | 418,506.00 | 0.00 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 159.33 | 423,316.67 | 0.04 |
| Other fruits & veg. | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 2008 | 2,371,106.00 | 0.08 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 10138 | 2,589,168.00 | 0.39 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 9487 | 2,504,465.00 | 0.38 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 7,211.00 | 2,488,246.33 | 0.29 |
| Sweet corn | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 136 | 1,552,474.00 | 0.01 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 1634 | 1,551,529.00 | 0.11 |

| Description of products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measures type(s) | Non-exempt direct payments | Value of production | Support as a % of value of production |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$1,000 | NT\$1,000 | % |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 1981 | 1,507,394.00 | 0.13 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 1,250.33 | 1,537,132.33 | 0.08 |
| | | | | | |
| Cabbage | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 1,766.00 | 1,173,600.00 | 0.15 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 1,698.00 | 1,153,582.00 | 0.15 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2,894.00 | 1,123,972.00 | 0.26 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 2,119.33 | 1,150,384.67 | 0.18 |
| | | | | | |
| Celery cabbage | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 3,828.00 | 651,762.00 | 0.59 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 2,138.00 | 664,742.00 | 0.32 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2,169.00 | 621,108.00 | 0.35 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 2,711.67 | 645,870.67 | 0.42 |
| | | | | | |
| Chinese cabbage | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 3913 | 963,977.00 | 0.41 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 8154 | 920,272.00 | 0.89 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2391 | 829,832.00 | 0.29 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 4,819.33 | 904,693.67 | 0.53 |
| | | | | | |
| Convolvulus | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 2698 | 311,220.00 | 0.87 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 2773 | 317,212.00 | 0.87 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 3234 | 299,466.00 | 1.08 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 2,901.67 | 309,299.33 | 0.94 |
| | | | | | |
| Celery | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | - | 296,015.00 | 0.00 |

| Description of products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measures type(s) | Non-exempt direct payments | Value of production | Support as a % of value of production |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$1,000 | NT\$1,000 | % |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | - | 284,928.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | - | 338,004.00 | 0.00 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | - | 306,315.67 | 0.00 |
| Other leaf vegetables | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 1,006.00 | 1,643,768.00 | 0.06 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 2,395.00 | 1,667,307.00 | 0.14 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2,677.00 | 1,997,983.00 | 0.13 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 2,026.00 | 1,769,686.00 | 0.11 |
| Chinese radish | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 2193 | 963,030.00 | 0.23 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 6277 | 649,654.00 | 0.97 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 3230 | 1,294,567.00 | 0.25 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 3,900.00 | 969,083.67 | 0.40 |
| Scallion | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 202 | 739,799.00 | 0.03 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 99 | 786,160.00 | 0.01 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 2391 | 926,541.00 | 0.26 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 897.33 | 817,500.00 | 0.11 |
| Bamboo shoot | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 711.00 | 2,565,362.00 | 0.03 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 66.00 | 2,871,998.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 1,362.00 | 2,816,520.00 | 0.05 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 713.00 | 2,751,293.33 | 0.03 |

| Description of products | Calendar/marketing year beginning | Measures type(s) | Non-exempt direct payments | Value of production | Support as a % of value of production |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | NT\$1,000 | NT\$1,000 | % |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Water bamboo | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 63.00 | 1,043,073.00 | 0.01 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 887.00 | 954,914.00 | 0.09 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 1,795.00 | 1,187,521.00 | 0.15 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 915.00 | 1,061,836.00 | 0.09 |
| Asparagus | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 510,834.00 | 0.00 |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 74 | 385,144.00 | 0.02 |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 0 | 319,550.00 | 0.00 |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 24.67 | 405,176.00 | 0.01 |
| Total | Jan. 90 | Deficiency payment | 20,774.00 | | |
| | Jan. 91 | Deficiency payment | 47,653.00 | | |
| | Jan. 92 | Deficiency payment | 39,865.00 | | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Deficiency payment | 36,097.33 | | |

Supporting Table DS: 7

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1990-92 and Fiscal Year 1990/91-1992/93

Product-Specific Aggregate Measurements of Support: Other Product-Specific Support and Total Product-Specific AMS

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| Rice | Jan. 90 | | | | | 7,070.17 | | 7,070.17 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | 5,703.17 | | 5,703.17 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | 5,405.44 | | 5,405.44 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | 6,059.59 | | 6,059.59 | |
| Soybean | Jan. 90 | | | | | 146.64 | 19.22 | 165.86 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | 180.01 | 19.77 | 199.78 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | 224.36 | 23.71 | 248.07 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | 183.67 | 20.90 | 204.57 | |
| Corn | Jan. 90 | | | | | 2,986.48 | 250.29 | 3,236.77 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | 2,690.32 | 215.70 | 2,906.02 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | 2,808.65 | 218.38 | 3,027.03 | |

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | 2,828.48 | 228.12 | 3,056.61 | |
| Sorghum | Jan. 90 | | | | | 1,174.57 | 129.90 | 1,304.47 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | 1,141.99 | 120.61 | 1,262.60 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | 1,085.68 | 110.57 | 1,196.25 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | 1,134.08 | 120.36 | 1,254.44 | |
| Vegetables | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 333.90 | 333.90 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 361.56 | 361.56 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 348.40 | 348.40 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 347.95 | 347.95 | |
| Fruit | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 163.61 | 163.61 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 174.38 | 174.38 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 159.43 | 159.43 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 165.81 | 165.81 | |
| Ornamental plants | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 53.22 | 53.22 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 54.16 | 54.16 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 57.43 | 57.43 | |

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 54.94 | 54.94 | |
| Forage | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 168.90 | 168.90 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 193.93 | 193.93 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 238.05 | 238.05 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 200.29 | 200.29 | |
| Peanuts | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 392.46 | 392.46 | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 334.08 | 334.08 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 430.53 | 430.53 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 385.69 | 385.69 | |
| Sugar | July 90 | Freight subsidy | 263.06 | | 263.06 | | | | TSC |
| | July 91 | Freight subsidy | 534.81 | | 534.81 | | | | TSC |
| | July 92 | Freight subsidy | 465.52 | | 465.52 | | | | TSC |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Freight subsidy | 421.13 | | 421.13 | | | | |
| Sugar | July 90 | Other subsidies ⁽¹⁾ | 45.45 | | 45.45 | | | | TSC |
| | July 91 | Other subsidies ⁽¹⁾ | 75.56 | | 75.56 | | | | TSC |

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| | July 92 | Other subsidies ^{(1)/} | 81.09 | | 81.09 | | | | TSC |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Other subsidies ^{(1)/} | 67.37 | | 67.37 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Sugar | July 90 | Total AMS | | | 308.51 | 2,460.53 | 328.53 | 3,097.57 | |
| | July 91 | Total AMS | | | 610.37 | 1,943.62 | 381.10 | 2,935.09 | |
| | July 92 | Total AMS | | | 546.61 | 2,458.11 | 357.71 | 3,362.43 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Total AMS | | | 488.50 | 2,287.42 | 355.78 | 3,131.70 | |
| Tobacco | July 90 | Interest subsidy | 6.95 | | 6.95 | | | | TTWMB |
| leaves | July 91 | Interest subsidy | 7.05 | | 7.05 | | | | TTWMB |
| | July 92 | Interest subsidy | 6.64 | | 6.64 | | | | TTWMB |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Interest subsidy | 6.88 | | 6.88 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Tobacco | July 90 | Fertilizer subsidy | 104.44 | | 104.44 | | | | TTWMB |
| leaves | July 91 | Fertilizer subsidy | 112.40 | | 112.40 | | | | TTWMB |
| | July 92 | Fertilizer subsidy | 113.97 | | 113.97 | | | | TTWMB |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Fertilizer subsidy | 110.27 | | 110.27 | | | | |
| Tobacco | July 90 | Total AMS | | | 111.39 | 1,289.24 | | 1,400.63 | |
| leaves | July 91 | Total AMS | | | 119.45 | 1,634.90 | | 1,754.35 | |

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| | July 92 | Total AMS | | | 120.61 | 1,390.87 | | 1,511.48 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Total AMS | | | 117.15 | 1,438.33 | | 1,555.48 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Wheat | July 90 | | | | | 43.64 | | 43.64 | |
| | July 91 | | | | | 54.30 | | 54.30 | |
| | July 92 | | | | | 56.29 | | 56.29 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | 51.41 | | 51.41 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Wine grapes | July 90 | Freight subsidy | 11.47 | | 11.47 | | | | TTWMB |
| | July 91 | Freight subsidy | 17.03 | | 17.03 | | | | TTWMB |
| | July 92 | Freight subsidy | 25.95 | | 25.95 | | | | TTWMB |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Freight subsidy | 18.15 | | 18.15 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Wine grapes | July 90 | Total AMS | | | 11.47 | 717.7 | | 729.2 | |
| | July 91 | Total AMS | | | 17.03 | 1,007.5 | | 1,024.5 | |
| | July 92 | Total AMS | | | 25.95 | 1,205.8 | | 1,231.8 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | Total AMS | | | 18.15 | 977.0 | | 995.2 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Crops | Jan. 90 | | | | | | 911.33 | 911.33 | |

| Description of basic product | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Measure type(s) | Other product- specific budgetary outlays/ support | Associated fees/levies | Total other product- specific support | Market price support (Supporting Table DS:5) | Non-exempt direct payments (Supporting Table DS:6) | Total AMS | Data sources |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| | | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | (4-5) | | | (6+7+8) | |
| | Jan. 91 | | | | | | 755.85 | 755.85 | |
| | Jan. 92 | | | | | | 840.56 | 840.56 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | | | 835.91 | 835.91 | |
| All commodities | Jan. 90 | | | | 431.37 | 15,888.96 | 2,751.36 | 19,071.70 | |
| (before de | Jan. 91 | | | | 746.85 | 14,355.78 | 2,611.14 | 17,713.78 | |
| minimis) | Jan. 92 | | | | 693.17 | 14,635.23 | 2,784.77 | 18,113.17 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | 623.80 | 14,959.99 | 2,715.76 | 18,299.55 | |

Footnotes:

(1) Other subsidies for sugarcane include interest subsidy for loans for the purchase of inputs, insurance premium for sugarcane farm, fees for pest and disease control, inspection fees for sugar bricks and others.

Supporting Table DS: 9

DOMESTIC SUPPORT: The Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu

REPORTING PERIOD: Calendar Year 1990-92 and Fiscal Year 1990/91-1992/93
Non-Product-Specific AMS

| Measure type(s) | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Non-product- specific budgetary outlays | Other non- product- specific support | Associated fees/levies | Total non- product-specific support | Data sources and comments |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | | (3+4-5) | |
| Agricultural machinery price subsidy | Jul-90 | 325.00 | | | 325.00 | 1. Objective: to facilitate adjustment in agricultural production and distribution so as to expedite modernization of the agricultural sector. |
| | Jul-91 | 30.00 | | | 30.00 | |
| | Jul-92 | 35.00 | | | 35.00 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | 130.00 | | | 130.00 | 2. For: |
| | | | | | | (a) farmers actually engaged in production, |
| | | | | | | (b) machinery should pass the functional test by Agricultural Testing Bureau of Taiwan Province, |
| | | | | | | (c) maximum period is five years, |
| | | | | | | (d) assistance to each farm family is limited to one machine for each type of machine. |
| | | | | | | 3. Subsidy for each machine does not exceed 50% of the machine price. |
| | | | | | | 4. Data source: Food & Agriculture Department, COA |

| Measure type(s) | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Non-product- specific budgetary outlays | Other non- product- specific support | Associated fees/levies | Total non- product-specific support | Data sources and comments |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | | (3+4-5) | |
| Agricultural ammonium price difference subsidy | Jan-90 | | 114.52 | | 114.52 | 1. Ammonium for agricultural use is provided at preferential prices, which are lower than the prices of ammonium for industrial use. |
| | Jan-91 | | 174.55 | | 174.55 | |
| | Jan-92 | | 138.17 | | 138.17 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | 142.41 | | 142.41 | 2. Refer to Attachment 5 for calculation of subsidy. |
| | | | | | | 3. Data source: Taiwan Fertilizer Company, MOEA |
| Home delivery of fertilizers | Jul-90 | 156.00 | | | 156.00 | 1. Subsidized items include the cost for transporting the fertilizers and the administrative cost incurred by the Town Farmers' Associations in the handling of Door to Door Fertilizer Delivery System. 2. Data source: "Taiwan Food Statistics Book," TFB. |
| | Jul-91 | 196.00 | | | 196.00 | |
| | Jul-92 | 211.00 | | | 211.00 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | 187.67 | | | 187.67 | |
| Waiver or reduction of fees for electricity used for agricultural production | Jul-90 | 128.00 | | | 128.00 | 1. Under the Statute for Agricultural Development, minimum charges for electricity used directly in agricultural production may be waived or reduced when the electricity is not used. |
| | Jul-91 | 126.00 | | | 126.00 | |
| | Jul-92 | 134.00 | | | 134.00 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | 129.33 | | | 129.33 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | (1) electricity used for agricultural irrigation facilities, (2) electricity used for agricultural planting and processing of products after harvest, |

| Measure type(s) | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Non-product- specific budgetary outlays | Other non- product- specific support | Associated fees/levies | Total non- product-specific support | Data sources and comments |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | | (3+4-5) | |
| | | | | | | (3) electricity used for refrigeration and storage of products, and |
| | | | | | | (4) electricity used in livestock farming. |
| | | | | | | 2. Data source: Taiwan Power Company, MOEA |
| Interest subsidy ^{(1)/} | Jul-90 | 293.33 | | | 293.33 | 1. Special loans granted to farmers for: |
| | Jul-91 | 702.23 | | | 702.23 | (1) the purchase of farm land, |
| | Jul-92 | 546.59 | | | 546.59 | (2) the purchase of new agricultural machinery, |
| | 1990-92 avg. | 514.05 | | | 514.05 | (3) assisting joint, entrusted and cooperative farm operation, |
| | | | | | | (4) assisting establishment of business by young farmers, |
| | | | | | | (5) assisting the production of aquaculture by fishermen. |
| | | | | | | 2. Data source: Farmers' Service Dept., COA & Farmers' Bank |
| Agricultural salt price difference subsidy | Jul-90 | | 60.94 | | 60.94 | 3. Comments: see loan types 3 to 6 in Attachment 1 for more details. |
| | Jul-91 | | 55.47 | | 55.47 | 1. The following types of salt used in agriculture is provided at preferential prices: |
| | Jul-92 | | 67.32 | | 67.32 | (1) washed salt, |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | 61.24 | | 61.24 | (2) solar salt, |
| | | | | | | (3) high quality salt. |
| | | | | | | 2. Please refer to Attachment 6 for calculation of subsidy. |

| Measure type(s) | Calendar/ marketing year beginning | Non-product- specific budgetary outlays | Other non- product- specific support | Associated fees/levies | Total non- product-specific support | Data sources and comments |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | million NT\$ | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | | | | | (3+4-5) | |
| | | | | | | 3. Data source: Taiwan Salt Company, Ministry of Economic Affairs (MOEA) |
| Total non-product specific support | | | | | 1077.79 | |
| | | | | | 1284.25 | |
| | | | | | 1132.08 | |
| | 1990-92 avg. | | | | 1164.70 | |

ATTACHMENT 1

| Loan Type 1 | Loan for relief from natural disasters |
|---------------------|--|
| Qualified Borrower | Members of Farmers' Association or Fishermen's Association within the natural disaster areas. |
| Loan Purpose | Agricultural rehabilitation. |
| Loan Amount | Determined on the basis of actual needs, but not exceeding the ceiling of NT\$ 3 million. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 4.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | Determined on the basis of actual needs. |
| Repayment | Semi-annual payment of interest and repayment of principal. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank, Land Bank, Taiwan Provincial Cooperative Bank |
| | |
| Loan Type 2 | Loan for the use of water and soil conservation |
| Qualified Borrower | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Farmers actually engaged in activities of water and soil conservation 2. Farmers who are authorized and entitled to use the slope land. 3. Farmers are required to provide a comprehensive conservation programme on the use of the fund. The programme is to be reviewed and approved by the Provincial Soil and Water Conservation Bureau or the designated local government authority. |
| Loan Purpose | Conservation of water and soil. |
| Loan Amount | Maximum of NT\$ 4 million for each farmer (excluding infrastructure facilities). For infrastructure facilities, not to exceed the actual expenditure used. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 4.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | Maximum is 10 years. |
| Repayment | For infrastructure facilities loan, annual payment of interest and repayment of principal; first payment of loan is to be made one year from the time of borrowing, and to be fully repaid in ten instalments. For capital investment, semi-annual payment of interest and repayment of principal; to be fully repaid in 11 instalments; first repayment of loan is to be made 5 years from the time of the borrowing. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Land Bank |
| | |
| Loan Type 3 | Agriculture land loan |
| Qualified Borrower | Farmers |
| Loan Purpose | To purchase or to inherit farmland in order to increase operation scale of the family farms. |
| Loan Amount | Maximum of NT\$ 6 million, determined on the basis of publicly announced value of the farmland but not exceeding the actual transaction price or the cash compensation associated with inheritance. |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 4.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | Maximum is 15 years. |
| Repayment | First year is the grace period, semi-annual repayment thereafter; interest payment semi-annually. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank, Land Bank |
| | |
| Loan Type 4 | Agricultural Machinery Loans |
| Qualified Borrower | 1. Individuals or private organizations actually engaged in agriculture or aquaculture activities 2. Farmers engaged in the leasing of agricultural machinery, either as operators or non-operators of the machinery. |
| Loan Purpose | 1. Purchase of approved brand new automated agricultural machinery (including ancillary equipment). 2. Investment in the conversion or re-building of conventional equipment to automated equipment. |
| Loan Amount | Determined on the basis of actual need, but not exceeding the ceiling set by the COA, when applicable. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 5.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | 1. Maximum is 7 years. 2. Maximum is 4 years if leasing of machinery is the farmer's main source of income. |
| Repayment | Semi-annual payment of interest and repayment of principal. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank, Land Bank, Taiwan Provincial Cooperative Bank |
| | |
| Loan Type 5 | Loans for assisting joint, entrusted and cooperative farm operations. |
| Qualified Borrower | 1. Family farms where planting areas entrusted by others to farm exceed 3 hectares. 2. Family farms adopting joint farm operations supervised by the government or the Taiwan Sugar Corporation. 3. Cooperative farms. |
| Loan Purpose | Funds required to expand the scale of operation of joint, entrusted or cooperative farms. |
| Loan Amount | Total loan amount is not to exceed: (a) NT\$ 4 million, in the case where the entrusted planting area is less than 10 hectares, or NT\$ 6 million in the case where the entrusted planting area is more than 10 hectares. (b) NT\$ 2 million for each of the jointly operated family farm and NT\$ 9 million for each organization. (c) NT\$ 9 million for each cooperative farm. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 5.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | 1. Maximum is 3 years for working capital loan. 2. Maximum is 10 years for capital expenditure loan. |
| Repayment | Semi-annual payment of interest and repayment of principal; grace period can be extended to a maximum of three years. |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank |
| Loan Type 6 | Special loans for young farmers. |
| Qualified Borrower | Young farmers aged between 18 and 40 who have graduated from agricultural or aquacultural professional schools, those who have received agricultural training or given awards of distinction by the government. |
| Loan Purpose | Capital required for agricultural production, transportation costs for purposes of distribution, processing and other activities related to agricultural services. |
| Loan Amount | 1. Maximum of NT\$ 6 million per person. 2. Maximum of NT\$ 3 million for first-time borrowers and for the first year of borrowing. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 5.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | 1. Maximum is 3 years for working capital loan. 2. Maximum is 10 years for capital expenditure loan. |
| Repayment | Semi-annual payment of interest and repayment of principal. Grace period for capital expenditure loan can be extended to a maximum of 3 years. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank |
| Loan Type 7 | Loans for the purchase of equipment used in the prevention of pollution by hog farms. |
| Qualified Borrower | Hog farms with size of less than 5,000 pigs which need to install or improve pollution prevention equipment. |
| Loan Purpose | The installation of three-stage waste water disposal equipment or the reconstruction of hog farms without facilities for the disposal of waste water. |
| Loan Amount | Up to 90 % of the amount required by farmers, but not to exceed NT\$ 1,000 per pig. |
| Loan Interest | Floating rate (current rate is 4.5%). |
| Loan Maturity | Maximum of 8 years. |
| Repayment | Semi-annual payment of interest; first repayment of loans to be made 3.5 years from the time of borrowing, and to be fully repaid in ten instalments. |
| Lending Institution | Farmers' Association, Farmers' Bank, Land Bank, Taiwan Provincial Cooperative Bank |

ATTACHMENT 2

| Description of basic products | Measure type(s) | Sources and comments |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Rice | Guaranteed purchases | 1. There are two types of rice purchase, planned or supplementary purchase. The purchase is made twice in a year divided into two crops. The purchase and quantity are as follows |
| | | (1) Planned Purchase |
| | | The purchased quantity: 1,600kg per ha. for the first crop; 1,200kg per ha. for the second crop. |
| | | The purchased price: NT\$19/kg for Japonica rice; NT\$ 18/kg for Indica rice. |
| | | |
| | | (2) Supplementary Purchase |
| | | The purchased quantity: 1,200kg per ha. for the first crop; 800kg per ha. for the second crop. |
| | | The purchased price: NT\$16.5/kg for Japonica rice; NT\$ 15.5/kg for Indica rice. |
| | | 2. The market price support is calculated on the basis of the difference between the guaranteed purchase price and the imported price for husk rice (import rice is determined by using Hong Kong's rice import price as reference; import data sourced from "Hong Kong Trade Statistics," exchange rate data sourced from "Hong Kong Monthly Digest of Statistics") times the purchased quantity. The price for imported husk rice is converted to the price for milled rice, using the conversion ratio between domestic husk rice and milled rice. (Refer to Attachment 3) |
| Soybeans | Guaranteed purchase diversion payment bonus payment | 1. The purchase is divided into three crops per year at NT\$ 25/kg; 2.5 M.T per ha. Moreover, to encourage farmers to convert from rice to soybeans production, there is a diversion payment of NT\$ 16,500 per ha. In the case of group conversion, there is a bonus payment of NT\$ 4,000 per ha made since the first crop of 1990. |
| | | 2. The market price support is calculated on the basis of the difference between the guaranteed purchase price and imported price ("C.I.F. price" data sourced from "Monthly Statistic of Imports," published by the Directorate General of Customs, Ministry of Finance) times the guaranteed purchase quantity. |

| Description of basic products | Measure type(s) | Sources and comments |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Corn | Guaranteed purchase diversion payment bonus payment | <p>1. The purchase is divided into three crops in a year at NT\$ 15/kg; 5 M.T. per ha. Moreover, to encourage farmers to convert from rice to maize production, there is a diversion payment of NT\$ 16,500 per ha. In the case of group conversion, conversion, there is a bonus payment of NT\$ 4,000 per ha made since the first crop of 1990.</p> <p>2. The market price support is calculated on the basis of the difference between the guaranteed purchase price and imported price ("C.I.F. price" data sourced from "Monthly Statistic of Imports," published by Directorate General of Customs, Ministry of Finance) times the guaranteed purchase quantity.</p> |
| Sorghum | Guaranteed purchase diversion payment bonus payment | <p>1. The purchase is divided into two crops in a year at NT\$ 14/kg; 5 M.T. per ha. Moreover, to encourage farmers to convert from rice to sorghum production, there is a diversion payment of NT\$ 16,500 per ha. In the case of group conversion, there is a bonus payment of NT\$ 4,000 per ha made since the first crop of 1990.</p> <p>2. The market price support is calculated on the basis of the difference between the guaranteed purchase price and imported price ("C.I.F. price" data are sourced from "Monthly Statistic of Imports," published by Directorate General of Customs Ministry of Finance) times the guaranteed purchase quantity.</p> |
| Vegetables | Deficiency payment | <p>The Department of Agriculture and Forestry of the Taiwan Provincial Government has implemented a plan since 1976 to provide subsidy for summer vegetables in the form of contract guaranteed price. A "Summer Vegetables Guaranteed Price Fund" was established in 1979 to provide subsidy for price difference. The implementation period is from June 1 to October 31 each year. The guaranteed price is determined on the basis of 90% of the monthly weighted average price of Taipei Agricultural Products Marketing Corporation in the most recent 5 years, but in no event such guaranteed price would exceed the guaranteed price for the preceding year by more than NT\$ 2.</p> |
| Wine grapes | Contract guaranteed purchase | <p>1. The TTWMB signs contracts with farmers for the purchase of their products. The farmers' associations are responsible for the handling of the purchase.</p> <p>2. Method for the calculation of internal support for contract purchase of wine grapes:</p> |

| Description of basic products | Measure type(s) | Sources and comments |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 8 |
| | | (contract price - external reference price) x contract purchase quantity |
| | | Contract price is based on the weighted average of the prices paid for the purchase of different grades of locally produced grapes. External reference price is determined by using prices of wine grapes in the State of California times 0.75 (quality adjustment)* plus freight and insurance (at 10% of the price of Californian wine grapes). |
| | | *The coefficient of 0.75 is the quality adjustment coefficient calculated according to the difference between US grape sugar level standard of 21 and Taiwan's wine grape sugar level standard of 15 and is sourced from "Final Grape Crush Report 1992 Crop". |
| | | Data source for price of Californian wine grapes: Wines & Vines, July 1992 |
| | | Data source for contract purchase quantity: TTWMB |
| | | |
| Tobacco leaves | Contract guaranteed purchase | 1. The TTWMB signs contracts with farmers for the purchase of their products at guaranteed price. |
| | | 2. Method for the calculation of internal support for contract purchase of tobacco leaves: |
| | | (contract price - external reference price) x contract purchase quantity |
| | | Contract price is based on the weighted average of the prices paid for the purchase of different grades of locally produced tobacco leaves. |
| | | Data Source for contract price and external reference price: TTWMB |
| | | Data source for contract purchase quantity: TTWMB |
| | | |
| Wheat | Contract guaranteed purchase | 1. The TTWMB signs contracts with farmers for the purchase of their products at guaranteed price. |
| | | 2. Method for the calculation of internal support for contract purchase of wheat: |
| | | (contract price - imported price) x contract purchase quantity |
| | | Imported price is based on C.I.F. price data sourced from "Monthly Statistic of Imports," published by Directorate General of Customs, Ministry of Finance. |
| | | |

| Description of basic products | Measure type(s) | Sources and comments |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Sugar | Contract guaranteed purchase | 1. Taiwan Sugar Corporation contracts with farmers according to the Rules Governing the Contract Sugar Business of Taiwan Province on the purchase of sugarcane and share the prices of sugar made thereof with farmers. Sugar has two prices, one for export and the other for domestic sale. Income of sugarcane farmers' are of the following two types: |
| | | (1) Domestic sales, if the price is higher than the prepaid contract price, the whole of the domestic sale price goes to the farmers; if the domestic sale price is lower than the prepaid price, the farmers retain the prepaid price. |
| | | (2) Export sales, if the export sale price is higher than the export guaranteed price, the whole of the export price goes to the farmers; if the export price is lower than the export guaranteed price, the farmers will get the export guaranteed price. |
| | | 2. Formula for the calculation of sugar internal support: |
| | | (1) Domestic sales: |
| | | (contract prepaid price - external price) x domestic sale volume |
| | | (2) Export sales: |
| | | (export guaranteed price - external price) x export volume |
| | | (3) External price: C&F. price of import |

ATTACHMENT 3

The Calculation of Rice Market Price Support

| Item | Units | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1990-1992 avg. |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 1. H.K. milled rice import value (1)/ | | | | | |
| (a) U.S. | Thousand HK\$ | 17,598 | 14,843 | 9,355 | 13,932 |
| (b) Thailand | Thousand HK\$ | 715,144 | 819,560 | 898,510 | 811,071 |
| (c) Thailand (Glutinous) | Thousand HK\$ | 22,648 | 21,304 | 21,304 | 21,752 |
| 2. H.K. milled rice import volume (1)/ | | | | | |
| (a) U.S. | M.T. | 4,721 | 4,344 | 2,090 | 3,718 |
| (b) Thailand | M.T. | 233,766 | 258,711 | 277,824 | 256,767 |
| (c) Thailand (Glutinous) | M.T. | 9,399 | 8,105 | 8,105 | 8,536 |
| 3. Exchange rate (2)/ | NT\$/HK\$ | 3.48 | 3.31 | 3.29 | 3.36 |
| 4. H.K. milled rice import value(1.*3.) | | | | | |
| (a) U.S. | Thousand HK\$ | 61,240 | 49,131 | 30,778 | 47,050 |
| (b) Thailand | Thousand HK\$ | 2,488,700 | 2,712,745 | 2,956,098 | 2,719,181 |
| (c) Thailand (Glutinous) | Thousand HK\$ | 78,814 | 70,517 | 70,091 | 73,141 |
| 5. Milled rice import unit price(4./2.) | | | | | |
| (a) U.S. | NT\$/M.T. | 12,972 | 11,310 | 14,726 | 13,003 |
| (b) Thailand | NT\$/M.T. | 10,646 | 10,486 | 10,640 | 10,591 |
| (c) Thailand (Glutinous) | NT\$/M.T. | 8,385 | 8,700 | 8,648 | 8,578 |

| Item | Units | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1990-1992 avg. |
|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| 6. Conversion Rate (Paddy rice/Milled rice) | | | | | |
| (a) Japonica Rice | | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| (b) Indica Rice | | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| (c) Glutinous Rice | | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| 7. Paddy Rice Import Unit Price (5.*6.) | | | | | |
| (a) U.S. | NT\$/kg | 8.90 | 7.76 | 10.10 | 8.92 |
| (b) Thailand | NT\$/kg | 7.13 | 7.03 | 7.13 | 7.1 |
| (c) Thailand (Glutinous) | NT\$/kg | 5.62 | 5.83 | 5.79 | 5.75 |
| 8. Paddy Rice Purchase Price (3)/ | | | | | |
| (a) Japonica | | | | | |
| (a-1) Planned Purchase | NT\$/kg | 19.00 | 19.00 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
| (a-2) Supplementary Purchase Price | NT\$/kg | 16.50 | 16.50 | 16.50 | 16.50 |
| (b) Indica Rice | | | | | |
| (b-1) Planned Purchase | NT\$/kg | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| (b-2) Supplementary Purchase Price | NT\$/kg | 15.50 | 15.50 | 15.50 | 15.50 |
| (c) Indica Rice (long) | | | | | |
| (c-1) Planned Purchase | NT\$/kg | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| (c-2) Supplementary Purchase Price | NT\$/kg | 15.50 | 15.50 | 15.50 | 15.50 |
| (d) Glutinous Rice | NT\$/kg | 21.85 | 21.85 | 21.85 | 21.85 |
| 9. Price difference | | | | | |
| (a) Japonica | | | | | |
| (a-1) Guarantee Price Support[8(a-1)-7(a)] | NT\$/kg | 10.08 | 10.08 | 10.08 | 10.08 |
| (a-1) Guarantee Price Support[8(a-2)-7(a)] | NT\$/kg | 7.58 | 7.58 | 7.58 | 7.58 |
| (b) Indica Rice | | | | | |

| Item | Units | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1990-1992 avg. |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| (b-1) Guarantee Price Support[8(b-1)-7(b)] | NT\$/kg | 10.90 | 10.90 | 10.90 | 10.90 |
| (b-2) Guarantee Price Support[8(b-2)-7(b)] | NT\$/kg | 8.40 | 8.40 | 8.40 | 8.40 |
| (c) Indica Rice (long) | | | | | |
| (c-1) Guarantee Price Support[8(c-1)-7(b)] | NT\$/kg | 10.90 | 10.90 | 10.90 | 10.90 |
| (c-2) Guarantee Price Support[8(c-2)-7(b)] | NT\$/kg | 8.40 | 8.40 | 8.40 | 8.40 |
| (d) Glutinous Rice | | | | | |
| Glutinous Rice Price Support[8(d)-7(c)] | NT\$/kg | 16.10 | 16.10 | 16.10 | 16.10 |
| 10. Purchase Volume(3)/ | | | | | |
| (a) Japonica Rice | | | | | |
| (a-1) Planned Purchase Volume | M.T. | 423,461 | 367,284 | 335,862 | 375,536 |
| (a-2) Supplementary Purchase Volume | M.T. | 216,515 | 133,742 | 135,619 | 161,959 |
| (b) Indica Rice | | | | | |
| (b-1) Planned Purchase Volume | M.T. | 1,584 | 3,287 | 1,830 | 2,234 |
| (b-2) Supplementary Purchase Volume | M.T. | 481 | 1,372 | 757 | 870 |
| (c) Indica Rice (long) | | | | | |
| (c-1) Planned Purchase Volume | M.T. | 29,985 | 23,736 | 29,498 | 27,740 |
| (c-2) Supplementary Purchase Volume | M.T. | 11,893 | 3,365 | 9,478 | 8,245 |
| (d) Glutinous Rice | M.T. | 44,252 | 40,549 | 35,063 | 39,955 |
| 11. Market Price Support | | | | | |
| (a) Japonica Rice | | | | | |
| (a-1) Planned Purchase[9(a-1)*10(a-1)] | Million NT\$ | 4,268.49 | 3,702.22 | 3,385.49 | 3,785.40 |
| (a-2) Supplementary Purchase[9(a-2)*10(a-2)] | Million NT\$ | 1,641.18 | 1,013.76 | 1,027.99 | 1,227.65 |
| (b) Indica Rice | | | | | |
| (b-1) Planned Purchase[9(b-1)*10(b-1)] | Million NT\$ | 17.27 | 35.83 | 19.95 | 24.35 |
| (b-2) Supplementary Purchase [9(b-2)*10(b-2)] | Million NT\$ | 4.04 | 11.52 | 6.36 | 7.31 |
| (c) Indica Rice (long) | | | | | |

| Item | Units | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1990-1992 avg. |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| (c-1) Planned Purchase[9(c-1)*10(c-1)] | Million NT\$ | 326.84 | 258.72 | 321.53 | 302.36 |
| (c-2) Supplementary Purchase [9(c-2)*10(c-2)] | Million NT\$ | 99.90 | 28.27 | 79.62 | 69.26 |
| (d) Glutinous Rice | Million NT\$ | 712.45 | 652.84 | 564.52 | 643.27 |
| 12. Total Market Price Support | Million NT\$ | 7,070.17 | 5,703.17 | 5,405.45 | 6,059.59 |

Footnotes:

- (1) Data sourced from "Hong Kong Trade Statistics," published by the Census & Statistics Department of Hong Kong.
- (2) Data sourced from "Hong Kong Monthly Digest of Statistics".
- (3) Data sourced from "Taiwan Food Statistics Book," published by the Taiwan Food Bureau.

ATTACHMENT 4

Summary of Contracting Guaranteed Price Marketing Plan for Summer Season Vegetables

A. BACKGROUND

In order to fully supply the vegetables to the domestic markets during the summer (Typhoon) seasons, the big cities' wholesale market entity tries to promote vegetables production and orderly marketing scheme. Since 1976 the Department of Agriculture and Forestry of Taiwan Provincial Government (TPDAF) has implemented the Contracting Guaranteed Price Marketing Plan for Summer Vegetables, under which the wholesale markets of populous cities contract with farmers' organizations to set production targets and to ensure minimum market prices in order to supply the insufficient summer vegetables. The plan is initially implemented by the Taipei Fruit and Vegetable Wholesale Market, and later adopted by six other wholesale markets in Taiwan Province. Farmers are voluntary to join this program.

B. SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR PRICE GUARANTEE

The Fund for Summer Vegetable Price Guarantee was established in 1979, and is governed by the Committee of the Management and Use of the Summer Vegetable Price Guarantee Fund, hereinafter referred to as the "Committee". The contributors to the Fund are Council of Agriculture, TPDAF, Market Administrations in Taipei City and Kaohsiung City respectively, Taiwan Provincial Farmers' Association, Taiwan Provincial Agricultural Federated Cooperative, Taiwan Provincial Fruits and Vegetables Marketing Cooperative, Taipei and Kaohsiung Agricultural Products Marketing Corporation. The representative of each contributor organizes the Committee.

C. IMPLEMENTATION PERIOD

From June 1 to October 31 each year.

D. CONDITIONS FOR FARMERS' ORGANIZATIONS PARTICIPATING IN THE PLAN

- (a) Those with sound organization, good records in joint marketing, and the variety and quality of their products meet markets' demand;
- (b) helping the grading and packaging of products for purposes of selling at reasonable prices; and,
- (c) having been able to actually supply more than 50 per cent of the season's target supply quantity allocated to them or their monthly supplies meet 60 per cent of the target quantity at least for three months each year.

E. CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF CONTRACT VEGETABLE AND GUARANTEED PRICE

- (a) Agricultural Products Marketing Corporation in the same month of the most recent five years, but in any case would not exceed the guaranteed price set for the preceding year by more than two dollars;
- (b) the products selected for including in the Plan are suggested by TPDAF to the Committee for approval.

F. CONTRACTED SUPPLY TARGET

1. The supply target is suggested by TPDAF to the Committee for approval.
2. Each contracting fruit and vegetable wholesale market would determine the monthly requirement of various vegetable varieties and quantities according to the target set up by the Committee.

G. PRICE DIFFERENCE SUBSIDY

Each fruit and vegetable wholesale market will calculate the averaged price of each product which is shipped through the joint marketing on a weekly basis. If the averaged price is lower than the guaranteed price set up for the relevant month, the price difference will be subsidized according to the following formula; on the other hand, if the averaged price is higher, no subsidy will be granted; provided, however, the subsidy amount shall not exceed 50 per cent of the guaranteed price:

- (a) the price difference would be subsidized by 100 per cent and the contracted quantity would be eligible for such subsidy, if the actual supply quantity is located at between 100 per cent and 120 per cent of the contracted quantity;
- (b) the price difference would be subsidized by 100 per cent and the actual supply quantity would be eligible for such subsidy, if the actual supply quantity is located at between 80 per cent and 100 per cent of the contracted quantity;
- (c) the price difference would be subsidized by 90 per cent and the actual supply quantity would be eligible for such subsidy, if the actual supply quantity is located at between 70 per cent and 80 per cent of the contracted quantity;
- (d) the price difference would be subsidized by 80 per cent and the actual supply quantity would be eligible for such subsidy, if the actual supply quantity is located at between 60 per cent and 70 per cent of the contracted quantity;
- (e) the price difference would be subsidized by 60 per cent and the contracted quantity would be eligible for such subsidy, if the actual supply quantity is located at between 120 per cent and 150 per cent of the contracted quantity.

ATTACHMENT 5

Subsidies on Ammonia for Agricultural Use in 1990

(Metric Ton, NT\$)

| Month | Agricultural Ammonia Sales Volume | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| January | 4,437.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (1,894,599.00) |
| February | 12,270.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (5,239,290.00) |
| March | 15,828.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (6,758,556.00) |
| April | 11,301.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (4,825,527.00) |
| May | 11,241.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (4,799,907.00) |
| June | 8,565.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (3,657,255.00) |
| July | 9,127.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,000.00 | (427.00) | (3,897,229.00) |
| August | 17,614.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,500.00 | (927.00) | (16,328,178.00) |
| September | 12,640.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,500.00 | (927.00) | (11,717,280.00) |
| October | 20,470.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,500.00 | (927.00) | (18,975,690.00) |
| November | 22,211.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (25,031,797.00) |
| December | 10,110.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (11,393,970.00) |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 155,814.00 | | | | (114,519,278.00) |

Subsidies on Ammonia for Agricultural use in 1991

(Metric Ton, NT\$)

| Month | Agricultural Ammonia Sales Volume | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| January | 26,030.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (37,144,810.00) |
| February | 10,955.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (15,632,785.00) |
| March | 10,904.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (15,560,008.00) |
| April | 14,763.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (21,066,801.00) |
| May | 15,162.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (21,636,174.00) |
| June | 11,460.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (16,353,420.00) |
| July | 12,276.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (17,517,852.00) |
| August | 2,582.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (3,684,514.00) |
| September | 1.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (1,427.00) |
| October | 84.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (119,868.00) |
| November | 8,180.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (11,672,860.00) |
| December | 9,926.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (14,164,402.00) |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 122,323.00 | | | | (174,554,921.00) |

Subsidies on Ammonia for Agricultural Use in 1992

(Metric Ton, NT\$)

| Month | Agricultural Ammonia Sales Volume | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| January | 6,142.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (8,764,634.00) |
| February | 11,016.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (15,719,832.00) |
| March | 14,459.00 | 4,573.00 | 6,000.00 | (1,427.00) | (20,632,993.00) |
| April | 9,248.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (10,422,496.00) |
| May | 11,637.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (13,114,899.00) |
| June | 11,429.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (12,880,483.00) |
| July | 12,100.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (13,636,700.00) |
| August | 11,878.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (13,386,506.00) |
| September | - | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | - |
| October | 2,900.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (3,268,300.00) |
| November | 16,361.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,700.00 | (1,127.00) | (18,438,847.00) |
| December | 8,526.00 | 4,573.00 | 5,500.00 | (927.00) | (7,903,602.00) |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 115,696.00 | | | | (138,169,292.00) |

ATTACHMENT 6

Subsidies on Salt for Agricultural Use in 1990/91

(Metric Ton, NT\$)

| Salt Type | Quantity of Agricultural Use | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| Washed Salt | 40,624.60 | 3,000.00 | 4,500.00 | (1,500.00) | (60,936,900.00) |
| TOTAL | 40,624.60 | | | | (60,936,900.00) |

Subsidies on Salt for Agricultural Use in 1991/92

(Metric ton, NT\$)

| Salt Type | Quantity of Agricultural Use | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| Washed Salt | 36,964.30 | 3,000.00 | 4,500.00 | (1,500.00) | (55,446,450.00) |
| High Quality Salt | 22.50 | 7,650.00 | 8,550.00 | (900.00) | (20,250.00) |
| TOTAL | 36,986.80 | | | | (55,466,700.00) |

Subsidies on Salt for Agricultural Use in 1995/96

(Metric ton, NT\$)

| Salt Type | Quantity of Agricultural Use | Use | | Price difference | Price difference amount |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Agricultural use | Industrial use | | |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (b-c)=d | (a)*(d) |
| Washed Salt (7/12/92) | 27,273.90 | 3,000.00 | 4,500.00 | (1,500.00) | (40,910,850.00) |
| Washed Salt (1/16/93) | 16,300.65 | 3,600.00 | 5,200.00 | (1,600.00) | (26,081,040.00) |
| Solar Salt (7/12/92) | 52.00 | 450.00 | 1,000.00 | (550.00) | (28,600.00) |
| Solar Salt (1/16/93) | 33.00 | 450.00 | 1,300.00 | (850.00) | (28,050.00) |
| High Quality Salt (7/12/92) | 103.00 | 7,650.00 | 8,550.00 | (900.00) | (92,700.00) |
| High Quality Salt (1/16/93) | 70.00 | 8,000.00 | 10,500.00 | (2,500.00) | (175,000.00) |
| TOTAL | 43,832.55 | | | | (67,316,240.00) |

Note: Price of salt adjusted on 16 January 1992.